## Case 1:23-mi-00055-SKQ Document 12 Filed 05/25/23 Page 1 of 2 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ED STATES OF AMERICA,	)
Plaintiff,	) Case No. 1:23-MJ-00055 SKO
VS.	DETENTION ORDER
ELIO CENICEROS FLORES,	) )
Defendant.	) ) )
Order For Detention	
	ring pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f) of the Bail Reform Act, the Court at detained pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e) and (i).
The Court orders the defendant's of the Court orders the Court of Court orders the Cou	
in the Pretrial Services Report, an  X (1) Nature and circumsta  X (a) The crime: 21  to Distribute Meth is a serious crime (b) The offense is  X (c) The offense in  (d) The offense in  (2) The weight of the evic  X (3) The history and charace (a) General Factor  The defen defendant  UNK The defen	nces of the offense charged: U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) & (b)(1)(A)—Possession of a con. Substance w/intent namphetamine. and carries a maximum penalty of: 10 yrslife/\$10,000,000. a crime of violence. volves a narcotic drug. avolves a large amount of controlled substances, to wit: dence against the defendant is high. acteristics of the defendant, including:
	Plaintiff,  VS.  ELIO CENICEROS FLORES,  Defendant.  Order For Detention  After conducting a detention hear orders the above-named defendants  Statement Of Reasons For The The Court orders the defendant's a year of the End of the E

## DETENTION ORDER - Page 2 Document 12 Filed 05/25/23 Page 2 of 2

(b) Whether the defendant was on probation, parole, or release by a court:
At the time of the current arrest, the defendant was on:
Probation
Parole Parole
Release pending trial, sentence, appeal, or completion of sentence.
(c) Other Factors:
The defendant is an illegal alien and is subject to deportation.
The defendant is a legal alien and will be subject to deportation if convicted Other: [The defendant is a sentenced state prisoner.] [WRIT]
(4) The nature and seriousness of the danger posed by the defendant's release are as follows:
X (5) Rebuttable Presumptions
In determining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also relied on the following
rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. §3142(e) which the Court finds the defendant has
not rebutted:
a. That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant or remainded the reference of the defendant or remainded to the research of the defendant of the remainded to the research of the defendant of the remainded to the remainded
of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community because the Court finds that the crime involves:
(A) A crime of violence;
(B) An offense for which the maximum penalty is life imprisonment or death
(C) A controlled substance violation which has a maximum penalty of 10
years or more; or,
(D) A felony after the defendant had been convicted of 2 or more prior
offenses described in (A) through (C) above, and the defendant has a prior
conviction for one of the crimes mentioned in (A) through (C) above which
less than 5 years old and which was committed while the defendant was on
pretrial release.
X b. That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance
of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because the Court find
that there is probable cause to believe:
X (A) That the defendant has committed a controlled substance violation which
has a maximum penalty of 10 years or more.
(B) That the defendant has committed an offense under 18 U.S.C. §924(c)
(uses or carries a firearm during and in relation to any crime of violence,
including a crime of violence, which provides for an enhanced punishment i
committed by the use of a deadly or dangerous weapon or device).
Additional Directives

## D.

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §3142(i)(2)-(4), the Court directs that:

The defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal; the defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel; and, that on order of a court of the United States, or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which the defendant is confined deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

/s/ Sheila K. Oberto Dated: May 24, 2023 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE